

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 JOHN BELINDA, *et al.*,

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY,
9 MCKESSON CORPORATION, *et al.*,

10 Defendants.

Case No.: 12-CV-5941 YGR

ORDER STAYING LITIGATION PENDING
DECISION BY JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTI-
DISTRICT LITIGATION


11 In its opposition to the pending Motion for Remand filed by Plaintiffs on December 19,
12 2012, Defendant Bristol-Myers Squibb Company ("BMS") argues that the hearing and decision on
13 the motion should be stayed until BMS's pending motion for coordination before the Judicial Panel
14 on Multi-District Litigation ("JPML") is heard and decided. (Dkt. No. 12 at 4-5.) BMS represents
15 that the motion is currently set for hearing on January 31, 2013. In their reply, Plaintiffs do not
16 address the request for stay. (Dkt. No. 13.)

17 The Court finds that a stay is warranted. There is a reasonable likelihood that the issues
18 concerning federal jurisdiction have arisen or will arise in other Plavix-related cases filed in other
19 district courts. Considerations of judicial economy and avoidance of inconsistent rulings both favor
20 staying the litigation until the coordination motion is decided. Moreover, Plaintiffs are not likely to
21 be prejudiced by any delay resulting from a brief stay.

22 Accordingly, the Court hereby **STAYS** the litigation in the above-referenced action pending
23 a decision by the MDL Judicial Panel on the motion to transfer and consolidate.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 **Date: January 22, 2013**

27 
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE